#### PANJAB UNIVERSITY, CHANDIGARH

No. 9/04-9204/Est+-1
Date 19/9/12014

#### CIRCULAR

From

The Deputy Registrar (Estt.), Panjab University, Chandigarh.

To

- The Chairperson/Head/Director of all the University Teaching departments/ Institutes & all the Co-ordinators of the Institute of Emerging Areas in Science & Technology and Social Sciences, Panjab University, Chandigarh.
- 2. The Chairperson, V.V.B.I.S & I.S., Sandhu Ashram, Hoshiarpur.
- 3. The Director, P.U. Regional Centre, Sri Muktsar Sahib.
- 4. The Director, P.U. Regional Centre, Ludhiana.
- 5. The Director, S.S. Giri P.U Regional Centre, Hoshiarpur
- 6. The Director, P.U. Rural Centre, Kauni, Sri Muktsar Sahib,
- The Principal, Baba Balraj P.U. constituent College, Balachaur, Distt. Nawanshehar.
- The Principal, P.U. Constituent College, Guru Har Sahai, Distt. Ferozepur.
- 9. The Principal, P.U. Constituent College, Nihalsinghwala, Distt. Moga.
- 10. The Principal, P.U. Constituent College, Sikhwala, Distt. Sri Muktsar Sahib
- 11. A.S.V.C
- 12. AR (DUI) Office.
- 13. A.R. (General) alongwith file.
- 14. A.R. (Estt.)
- 15. All sets of Estt. Branch-I.

Subject: Application form (based on UGC notification dated 13.6.2013) for promotion from Assistant Professor/equivalent cadres from Stage-1 to Stage-2, Assistant Professor/equivalent cadres from Stage-2 to Stage-3, Assistant Professor (Stage-3) to Associate Professor/equivalent cadres (Stage-4) and Associate Professor (Stage-4) to Professor/ equivalent cadres (Stage-5) under UGC Career Advancement Scheme (Revised Pay Scales for Teachers, 2006).

Dear Sir/Madam,

Based on the discussion in the Senate dated 25.05.2014 (Para V), reproduced below:

"it was clarified that since the Senate is now adopting that for calculating API Score of determine eligibility for Associate Professors and Professors for direct recruitment, the capping will be taken into consideration, therefore, the capping ought to be taken into consideration while determining the eligibility for CAS promotions from now onwards.",

the Vice-chancellor, in anticipation of the approval of the Syndicate/ Senate has approved the calculation of API Score to determine eligibility for promotion under UGC Career Advancement Scheme in respect of Assistant Professor, Associate Professor & Professor by taking into consideration the UGC notification dated 13.6.2013 on all such cases, in which the date of eligible of capping is on or after 25.5.2014.

The application form (No. CAS/2014/1) for UGC Career Advancement Scheme, applicable in the case of those candidates whose date of eligibility fall on or after 25.05.2014 is available on the website of the University i.e. <a href="https://www.puchd.ac.in">www.puchd.ac.in</a>.

The teachers eligible for promotion under the UGC Career Advancement Scheme should submit ten copies of application forms No. CAS/2014/1, duly filled in all respects and other relevant documents in support of their claim.

This is in continuation to this office Circular No. 4721-4801/Estt.-I, dated 24.09.2010.

Yours faithfully,

Deputy Registrar (Estt.

#### PANJAB UNIVERSITY, CHANDIGARH

PBAS Proforma(based on UGC notification dated13.06.2013) for promotion under UGC Career Advancement Scheme (Revised Pay Scales for Teachers, 2006)

Application for promotion from: Assistant Professor/ equivalent cadres from Stage-1 to Stage-2;

Assistant Professor/ equivalent cadres from Stage-2 to Stage-3; Assistant Professor (Stage-3) to Associate Professor/ equivalent

Category-Il
Category-Il
Total(Cat.-I +
Cat.-II)

cadres (Stage-4);

Total API Score Calculated as per Annexure 3:

Associate Professor (Stage-4) to Professor/Equivalent cadres(Stage 5)

(Please indicate whichever is applicable)

		<u>-</u>	Category-III (period of assessment		
	Period of Assessment for the purpose of prom	otion:			
1	Name (in Block Letters)	:			_
2	Father's Name/Mother's Name	:			
3	Department	:			
4	Current Designation & Grade Pay	:			
5	Date of Last Promotion	:			
6	Which position and grade pay are you an applicant under CAS?	:			
7	Date of eligibility for promotion	:			
8	Date and Place of Birth	:			
9	Sex	:			
10	Marital Status	:			
11	Nationality	:			
12	Indicate whether belongs to PH/ SC/ ST/ OBC category	:			
13	Address for correspondence (with Pincode)	:			
14	Permanent Address (with Pincode)	:			
14.a	Contact Number	:			
14.b	E-Mail	:			

15.	Academic	Qualifications	(Matric	onwards):
-----	----------	----------------	---------	-----------

	% of marks	Division &
	obtained	Distinction

• In case of M.Phil/Ph.D. Examination, an attested copy of the degree & the result notification for the same be attached.

## 16. Record of academic service prior to joining Panjab University (please attach relevant certificates of service experience)

Institution	Designation	Essential qualifications for the post at the time of appointment	Nature of appointment (Regular/ Fixed term/ Temporary/ Adhoc)	Nature of Duties	Pay- Scale	Date of Joining	Date of Leaving	Reasons of Leaving

#### Please Indicate, whether in previous service(attach certificate from previous employer):

- a) The essential qualifications of the post held were not lower than the qualifications prescribed by the UGC.
- b) The post is/was in an equivalent grade or of the pre-revised scale of pay.
- c) Whether applied through proper channel.
- d) Whether possess the same minimum qualifications as prescribed by the UGC for appointment to the post.
- e) The post was filled in accordance with the prescribed selection procedure as laid down in the Regulations of University/StateGovernment/CentralGovernment/Concerned Institution, for such appointments.
- f) The previous appointment was not as guest lecture for any duration, or an ad-hoc or in a leave vacancy of less than one year duration.

#### 17. Record of service in Panjab University

Designation	Essential qualifications for the post at the time of appointment	Nature of appointment (Regular/ Fixed term/Temporary/ Adhoc)	Nature of Duties	Pay-Scale	Date of Actual joining	Total period Yr. M. Days

18.	Period o	of teaching Expe	rience: P.G. Classes	s (in Years)			_
			U.G. Cl	asses (in Years)			
19.	Research	n Experience exclu	iding years spent in I	M.Phil./Ph.D. (in	Years)		

		re of the se/Summer School	Place	Duration	Sponsori	ng Agency
	(Attach	n certificates)				
2. List	t of Publi	cation (for the purpo	ose of evaluation	n):		
	Sr.No.	Name of Publication	n Name o	f publisher Da	te of publication	Enclosures: Pl. ment page number of application form where proof is attached
	1.					proor is attached
	2.					
	3.					
	4.					
	5.					
	6.					
	7.					
	8.					
	9.					
3.	Any othe	r relevant information	on:			
<b>4.</b>	FUTURE I	PLANS				
	(Please	provide a brief resu	me of your futur	e plans for teaching a	nd research)	
	i)	Teaching:				
	ii)	Research:				Signature
ate						

20.

a)

b)

Fields of Specialization under the Subject/Discipline

3

Chairperson/Head of the Department/Institute

(Office Stamp)

#### PART-B ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

**G.** (A) **Category I: TEACHING, LEARNING AND EVALUATION Related ACTIVITIES –** attach extra sheet, if need be. (For Instructions, please refer to Annexure-I)

Category	Nature of activity	Note	es	Unit of Assessment	Sco	re		
Category-I	TEACHING, LEEARN EVALUATION RELAT		S					
1A(I)	Classroom teaching (including lectures, seminar)	As per allocat 2010- 2011- 20- 11 12 -13	12 2013-	Hours per academic year		2011-2 12 1		2013- 14
1A(ii)	Classroom teaching (including lectures, seminar) in excess of UGC norms	As per allocat 2010- 2011- 20 11 12 -13	12 2013-	Hours per academic year	2010- 11	2011- I2	2012- 13	2013- 14
1A(iii)	Classroom teaching (including lectures, seminar) preparation time	Same as teaching hour attendance re 2010- 2011- 20 11	gister 112-   2013-	Hours per academic year	2010- 11	2011- 12	2012- 13	2013- 14
1B	Tutorial and Practicals	Actual as Attendance re 2010 2011 - 20 -11   2   13	egister 012-   2013-	Hours per academic year	2010- 11	2011- 12	2012 -13	2013- 14
1C	Outside classroom interaction with students	Max 0.5 of I 1A 2010- 2011- 20 11 12 13	012- 2013	Hours per academic year	2010- 11	2011- 12	_	2013- 14
	Sub -total 1	Score= hou (max score 1				1		1

Category	Nature of activity	Notes		Unit of Ass	essment	Sco	re		
Category-I	TEACHING, LEEARN	ING AND EVA	<b>ALUATION R</b>	ELATED AC	<b>FIVITIES</b>	•			
2		per working 2010-  2011-  2	week	Hours per year	academic	2010- 11	2011- I2	2012- 13	2013- 14
	Sub -total 2	Score= hou score 30)	rs/ 10 (max				I	ı	
3A		Actual hours	2012-   2013- 13   14	Hours per year	academic	2010- 11	2011- 12	2012- 13	2013 -14
3B	Invigilation/Supervisi on and related examination duties		2012- 2013-	Hours per year	academic	2010- 11	2011- 12	2012- 13	2013
3C		11  2  1	2012- 2013- 13 14	Hours per year	academic	2010- 11	2011-	2012	2013- 14
	Sub -total 3	Score= hou score 30)	rs/ 10 (max						
4A	Teaching innovation including preparation of innovative course, use of innovative methodologies for	finalized screening co 2010- 2011- 2	to be cores to be by the mmittee	Outstand Very go Good Average Modest	= 5	2010- 11	2011- 12		2013- 14

	teaching including bilingual/ multi- lingual teaching									
4B	Preparation of new teaching-learning material including translation, bridge material, study pack	provi finali scree	ded. Szed ening c	to Scores by ommitte 2012- 13	the	Outstanding = 10 Very good = 7 Good = 5 Average = 3 Modest = 1	2010- 11	2011	- 2012- 13	2013- 14
4C	students. Use of anonymous students' feedback	feedl	back to	nd sum	ached	2 points per course (max 10 points)	0010	0011	b010	0010
	on the quality of classroom teaching and students' interaction		2011- 12	2012- 13	2013- 14		2010- 11	2011- 12	2012- 13	2013- 14
	Sub -total 4	Scor	e= ma	x 20	•					

Minimum score required : 150 out of a total of 250 from category I and II, at least 100 (from Maximum of 180) from category I and 20 (from maximum of 70) from category II.

### G. (A) Category II: Co-curricular, EXTENSION AND PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT RELATED ACTIVITIES – attach extra sheet, if need be.

(For Instructions, please refer to Annexure-II)

Category	Nature of activity	Notes	Unit of Assessment	Score
Category-		KTENSION AND PROFESS	SIONAL DEVELOPMENT	RELATED
1I 5A	ACTIVITIES  Discipline related co- curricular activities (e.g. field work, study visit, student seminar, events, career counselling etc.	(Evidence to be provided. Scores to be finalized by the screening committee)  Self-assessment by candidate  2010-   2011-   2012-   2013-   14	Outstanding = 10 Very good = 7 Good = 5 Average = 3 Modest = 1	Final score by screening committee  2010- 2011- 2012- 2013- 11
5B	Other co-curricular activities (cultural, Sports, NSS, NCC etc.)	(Evidence to be provided. Scores to be finalized by the screening committee)  Self-assessment by candidate  2010-   2011-   2012-   2013-   11   12   13   14	Outstanding = 10 Very good = 7 Good = 5 Average = 3 Modest = 1	Final score by screening committee
5C	Extension and dissemination activities (public lectures, talks, seminars, popular writings not covered under (III)	(Evidence to be provided. Scores to be finalized by the screening committee)  Self-assessment by candidate  2010-  2011-  2012-  2013-  11    2    13    14	Outstanding = 10 Very good = 7 Good = 5 Average = 3 Modest = 1	Final score by screening committee  2010-   2011-   2012-   2013-   11   12   13   14
	Sub-Total 5	Score = Max. 30		
6A	Administrative responsibility (including Dean, Principal, Chairperson, Convener, Teaching-in-Charge or similar duties that require regular office hours for its discharge)	Actual hours  2010-  2011-  2012-  2013-14 11   12   13	Hours per academic year	Final score by screening committee  2010-   2011-   2012-   2013-   14
6B	Participation in Board of Studies, Academic and Administrative Committees  Sub -total 6	Actual hours  2010-   2011-   2012-   2013-   11	Hours per academic year	Final score by screening committee 2010- 2011- 2012- 2013- 11
		5		

Category	Nature of activity	Notes		Unit of Assessment	Sco	ore		
Category-	CO-CURRICULAR, PROFESSIONAL RELATED ACTIVITI	S						
7	Overall contribution to the collective/ corporate life of the institution (including 5, 6 and any other contribution)	(Evidence provided. be finalized screening of Self-assessing candidate	Scores to d by the committee)	Outstanding = 10 Very good = 7 Good = 5 Average = 3 Modest = 1	scre		g com	mittee
			2012- 2013-		2010- 11		2012- 13	2013- 14
	Sub-total : 7	Score =	: Max. 10					
	Grand Total (Subtotal: 1 to Subtotal: 7)	(Out of 2	250)			•	•	•

#### Minimum score required for eligibility for promotion of

Assistant Professor/ equivalent cadres from Stage-1 to Stage-2;
Assistant Professor/ equivalent cadres from Stage-2 to Stage-3
Assistant Professor (Stage-3) to Associate Professor/ equivalent cadres (Stage-4);
Associate Professor (Stage-4) to Professor/Equivalent cadres (Stage 5)

is : 150 out of a total of 250 from category I and II, at least 100 (from Maximum of 180) from category I and 20 (from maximum of 70) from category II.

Sr. No.	Category	Minimum API required Total API	API Score filled by the Applican (Year-wise w.o 30.6.2010)	fulfils	Remarks, if any	PI. mention page number of application form where proof is attached
i)	Category-I :	Per	2010-11			
	Teaching-Learning	100 /Year				
	Evaluation related	180	2012-13			
	activities		2013-14			
ii)	Category-II: Co-curricular, Extension and Professional development related activities	Per 20 /Year 70	2010-11 2011-12 2012-13 2013-14			
iii)	Minimum total average annual score Under Categories (Category-I and II*)	Per 150 /Year 250	2010-11 2011-12 2012-13 2013-14			

# G: (A) CATEGORY-III: RESEARCH AND ACADEMIC CONTRIBUTIONS (For Instructions, please refer to Annexure-III) ASSESSMENT PERIOD W.E.F \_\_\_\_\_\_ TO \_\_\_\_\_ Area of Specialization, if any : \_\_\_\_\_

Note: Proof of publications with ISSN/ISBN number must be attached with the application form.

#### III. (A) (i) Published Papers in Journals during the Assessment period

S.N.	Title with page no.	Journal	ISSN/ISBN No.	Whether peer reviewed. Impact factor, if any	No. of Co- authors	Whether you are the main author	API Score	Pl. mention page number(s) of application form where proof is attached	API score to be given by screening committee
				Total API S	Score				

#### III. (A) (ii) Full papers in Conference Proceedings during the Assessment period

S.N.	Title with page no.	Details of conference Publications	ISSN/ISBN No.	No. of Co- authors and Date of	Whether you are the main author	API Score claimed by candidate	Enclosures: Pl. mention page number(s) of	API score to be given by screening committee
				publication			application form where proof is attached	
			Total API s	core				

Let X = Total API scores in Table III (A) (i) & (ii) = \_\_\_\_\_

#### III. B (i) Articles/ Chapters published in Books during the Assessment period

S.N.	Title with page no.	Book Title, editor &	ISSN/ ISBN	Whether peer	No. of Co- authors	Whether you are	API Score claimed	Enclosures: Pl. mention	API score to be given
	110.	publisher	No.	reviewed	and Date of		by	page	by
					Publication	author	candidate	number(s) of application	screening committee
								form where	
								proof is attached	
								allacheu	
				Total API	score				

#### III. B (ii) Books published as single author or as editor during the Assessment period

S.N.	Title with page no.	Type of Book & Authorship	Publisher & ISSN/ ISBN No.	Whether Peer Reviewed	No. of Co- author & Date of Publication	you are the main	API Score claimed by candidate	Pl. mention page number(s) of application form where proof is attached	API score to be given by screening committee
				Total API	score				

Let Y= Total API scores in Table III (B) (I) & (II) = \_\_\_\_\_

#### III. C (i & ii). Ongoing Research projects and consultancies during the Assessment period

S.N.	Title	Agency	Peri	iod	Grant/ Amount Mobilized (Rs Lakhs)	API Score claimed by candidate	Pl. mention page number(s) of application form where proof is attached	API score to be given by screening committee
			From	То				
			Total score					

#### III. C (iii & iv) Completed project/Consultancies during the Assessment period

S.N.	Title	Agency	Per	iod	Amount Mobilized	Whether Policy Documents / Patent as outcome	API Score claimed by candidate	page	to be given by screening
			From	То					
					Total score	9			

Let Z = Total API scores in Table III (C) (I & II) and III (C) (III & IV) = \_\_\_\_\_

#### III. (D) Research Guidance during the Assessment period

S.N.	Number Enrolled	Thesis Submitted	Degree Awarded	API Score claimed by candidate	Pl. mention	API score to be given by screening committee
M. Phil or Equivalent						
Ph.D or Equivalent						
		Total score	•			

Let U = Total	<b>API</b> scores in	n Table III	(D) =

III. E (i) Refresher courses, Methodology workshops, Training, Teaching-Learning- Evaluation Technology Programmes, Soft Skills development Programmes, Faculty Development Programmes (Not less than one week duration) during the Assessment period

S.N.	Programme	Dur	Duration		API Score claimed by candidate	Enclosures: Pl. mention page number(s) of application form where proof is attached	API score to be given by screening committee
		From	То				

#### III. E (ii) Papers presented in Conferences, Seminars, Workshops, Symposia during the Assessment period

S.N.	Title of the paper presented	Title of Conference/ Seminar etc	Date(s) of the event	Organised by	Whether International/ National/State/ Regional/ University or College Level	API Score claimed by candidate	page	be given by screening committee

#### III. E (iii) Invited Lectures and Chairmanships at National or international Conference/ Seminars during the Assessment period

S.N.	Title of Lecture/ Academic Session	Title of Conference/Sem inar etc	Date(s) of the event	Organised by	Whether International/ National	API Score claimed by candidate	mention page number(s) of application form where proof is	API score to be given by screening committee

Let V	<b>'</b> = '	Total	API	scores	in	Table	Ш	(E)	(I,+	II +	III)	=	
-------	--------------	-------	-----	--------	----	-------	---	-----	------	------	------	---	--

#### Note.

- 1. The screening/selection committees will assess and verify the categorization and scores of publications.
- 2. The parameters listed in table of <u>category-III</u> (Research and Academic contributions) shall have following capping in relation to the total API score claimed by the candidates for promotion:-
  - III (A): Research papers (Journals, etc) 30%
  - III (B): Research publications (Books, etc) 25%
  - III (C): Research Projects 20%
  - III (D): Research Guidance 10%
  - III (E): Training Courses and Conf/Seminar, etc 15%

#### **ELIGIBILITY**

- 3. For eligibility, a candidate has to secure the following API scores:
  - (1) For eligibility based on Category I: Teaching Learning and Evaluation related activities, the minimum score required by teachers from this category is 100; and
  - (2) For eligibility based on Category-II: Co-curricular, EXTENSION AND PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT RELATED ACTIVITIES; the Minimum score required for eligibility for appointment of Associate Professor and Professor is: 150 out of a total of 250 from category I and II, at least 100 (from Maximum of 180) from category I and 20 (from maximum of 70) from category II; and
  - (3) For eligibility based on Category-III: **RESEARCH AND ACADEMIC CONTRIBUTIONS** the API score is to be calculated as follows:

Sr. No.	Table/Sub-category		API Score (during the Assessment period) claimed/ filled by the candidate	API Score (during the Assessment period) to be given by Pre-Screening Committee
1.	Table III (A) (i) and (ii)	X		
2.	Table III (B) (i) and (ii)	Υ		
3.	Table III (C) (i) & (ii), (iii) & (iv)	Z		
4.	Table III (D)	U		
5.	Table III (E) (i)+ (ii) + (iii)	٧		

iven by the Pre-
ven by

		candidate	Screening Committee
Let $T = X + Y + Z + U + V$	=		

Sr. No.	Table/Sub-category	API Score			Score as claimed/ filled by the candidate	Score to be given by the Pre- Screening Committee
1.	Table III (A) (i) and (ii)	X	Let X <sub>1</sub> = Minimum of X and 0.3	Тx	X <sub>1</sub> =	X <sub>1</sub> =
2.	Table III (B) (i) and (ii)	Y	Let Y <sub>1</sub> = Minimum of Y and 0.25	Тх	Y <sub>1</sub> =	Y <sub>1</sub> =
3.	Table III (C) (i) & (ii), (iii) & (iv)	z	Let Z <sub>1</sub> = Minimum of Z and 0.2	Тx	Z <sub>1</sub> =	Z <sub>1</sub> =
4.	Table III (D)	U	Let U <sub>1</sub> = Minimum of U and 0.1	Тх	U <sub>1</sub> =	U <sub>1</sub> =
5.	Table III (E) (i)+ (ii) + (iii)	V	Let V <sub>1</sub> = Minimum of V and 0.15	Тx	V <sub>1</sub> =	V <sub>1</sub> =
			Let T <sub>1</sub> = X <sub>1</sub> + Y <sub>1</sub> + Z <sub>1</sub> + U <sub>1</sub> + V <sub>1</sub>		T <sub>1</sub> =	T <sub>1</sub> =

If  $T_1 \ge 40$ (during the Assessment period): eligible for promotion as Assistant Professor/ equivalent cadres from Stage-1 to Stage-2; If  $T_1 \ge 100$ (during the Assessment period: eligible for promotion as Assistant Professor/ equivalent cadres from Stage-2 to Stage-3;

If  $T_1 \ge 90$  (during the Assessment period: eligible for promotion as Assistant Professor (Stage-3) to Associate Professor/ equivalent Cadres (Stage-4);

If T₁ ≥ 120(during the Assessment period) : eligible for promotion as Associate Professor (Stage-4) to Professor/ Equivalent cadres

(Stage 5)

Sr. No.	Promotion of teachers through CAS	Minimum API score required	API score filled-in by the applicant For the assessment period w.e.fto	given by the	Remarks, if any.
1	Assistant Professor/ equivalent cadres from Stage-1 to Stage-2	(10/per year)/( 40/ assessment period)			
2	Assistant Professor/ equivalent cadres from Stage-2 to Stage-3	(20/per year)/( 100/ assessment period)			
3.	Assistant Professor (Stage- 3) to Associate Professor/ equivalent cadres (Stage-4)	(30/per year)/ (90/ assessment period)			
4.	Associate Professor (Stage-4) to Professor/ Equivalent cadres (Stage 5)	(40/per year)/ ( 120/ assessment period)			

(	liar	12+	urc
-	ngi	ıαι	ure

Date			

#### Annexure-I

#### **INSTRUCTIONS**

#### Category I: Teaching, LEARNING AND EVALUATION Related ACTIVITIES

**Note:** Lectures and tutorials allocation to add up to the UGC norm for particular category of teacher. University may prescribe minimum cut-off (net of due leave), say 80 %, for 1 and 5 above, below which no scores may be assigned in these subcategories.

**Note:** The model table proposes API scores and the mode for awarding these scores for various parameters of Category I of PBAS.

- 1. Wherever the unit of assessment is the number of hours, the teacher is required to compute the total number of hours allocated as per the time-table or the actual number of hours spent in that activity in the previous academic year. The institution can verify these from the official Time Table and the record of students' attendance.
- 2. In calculating the number of hours allocated, only working days/weeks will be taken into account. For example, if a teacher has been assigned 20 hours of classroom teaching per week in an institution that teaches for 16 weeks per semester, the teacher would write 320 hours (plus another 320 hours if her teaching load is the same in the second semester) in the row 1A(i). Since this is 2 hours higher than the UGC norm, she would claim additional 2 x 16 hours in row 1A (ii). If she has actually taught for 275 hours in that semester, she would claim 275 hours in row 1A (iii). So, in all, she would get credit for 320+32+275 = 627 hours for that semester. She would do similar calculation for the second semester and the total would be entered in each row.
- 3. In most sub-categories, the total score of a teacher may exceed the maximum score permitted against the relevant sub-total. In that case, the score of the teacher will be credited the maximum score. For example, a teacher who marks 900 scripts can get credit for 300 hours and may have spent another 40 hours on examination duty. This adds up to 340 hours = 34 points. But he will be awarded the maximum of 20 points in that category.
- 4. Wherever the criterion involves an assessment by the screening committee, the teacher will be required to submit some evidence of work done. Each institution may further develop these criteria and specify the requirements for the various categories mentioned here.
- 5. Under 4c, the teacher is only required to give a proof that she administered an anonymous feedback questionnaire wherein the students can give their assessment of the quality of her teaching. She would be entitled to the points irrespective of the content of the feedback. The comments given by the students may not be used against the teacher in this exercise.

#### **INSTRUCTIONS**

#### Category II: Co-curricular, EXTENSION AND PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT RELATED ACTIVITIES

**Note :** The model table proposes API scores and the mode for awarding these scores for various parameters of Category II of PBAS.

- 1. Wherever the unit of assessment is the number of hours, the teacher is required to compute the total number of hours allocated as per the time-table or the actual number of hours spent in that activity in the previous academic year. The institution can verify these from the official Time Table and the record of students' attendance.
- 2. In calculating the number of hours allocated, only working days/weeks will be taken into account. For example, if a teacher has been assigned 20 hours of classroom teaching per week in an institution that teaches for 16 weeks per semester, the teacher would write 320 hours (plus another 320 hours if her teaching load is the same in the second semester) in the row 1A(i). Since this is 2 hours higher than the UGC norm, she would claim additional 2 x 16 hours in row 1A (ii). If she has actually taught for 275 hours in that semester, she would claim 275 hours in row 1A (iii). So, in all, she would get credit for 320+32+275 = 627 hours for that semester. She would do similar calculation for the second semester and the total would be entered in each row.
- 3. In most sub-categories, the total score of a teacher may exceed the maximum score permitted against the relevant sub-total. In that case, the score of the teacher will be credited the maximum score. For example, a teacher who marks 900 scripts can get credit for 300 hours and may have spent another 40 hours on examination duty. This adds up to 340 hours = 34 points. But he will be awarded the maximum of 20 points in that category.
- 4. Wherever the criterion involves an assessment by the screening committee, the teacher will be required to submit some evidence of work done. Each institution may further develop these criteria and specify the requirements for the various categories mentioned here.
- 5. Under 4c, the teacher is only required to give a proof that she administered an anonymous feedback questionnaire wherein the students can give their assessment of the quality of her teaching. She would be entitled to the points irrespective of the content of the feedback. The comments given by the students may not be used against the teacher in this exercise.

#### **Annexure-III**

#### **INSTRUCTIONS**

#### CATEGORY-III: RESEARCH AND ACADEMIC CONTRIBUTIONS

**Brief Explanation**: Based on the teacher's self-assessment, API scores are proposed for research and academic contributions. The minimum API score required by teachers from this category is different for different levels of promotion and between university and colleges. The self-assessment score will be based on verifiable criteria and will be finalized by the screening/selection committee.

S.N.	APIs	Engineering/Agriculture/ Veterinary Science/ Sciences/Medical Sciences	Faculties of Languages/Arts/ Humanities/Social Sciences/ Library/Physical education/ Management	Max. points for University and college teacher position
Ш	Research	Refereed Journals*	Refereed Journals*	15 / Publication
(A)	Papers (Published in Journals)	Non-refereed but recognized and reputable journals and periodicals, having ISBN/ISSN numbers.	Non-refereed but recognized and reputable journals and periodicals, having ISBN/ISS numbers.	
		Conference proceedings as full papers, etc. (Only abstract included in the conference Proceedings and/or souvenir of Conference would not merit any credit)	Conference proceedings as for papers, etc. (Only abstratincluded in the conference Proceedings and/or souvenir Conference would not merit are credit)	ct ce of
III (B)	Research Publications (books, chapters in books, other	ications ks, Published by International Publishers with an established peer review system established peer review system		50 /sole author; 10 /chapter in an edited book
	than refereed journal articles)	Subjects Books by National level publishers/State and Central Govt. Publications with ISBN/ISSN numbers.	Subjects Books by National level publishers/State and Central Govt. Publications with ISBN/ISSN number	edited books
	,	Subject Books by Other local publishers with ISBN/ISSN numbers.	Subject Books by Other local publishers with ISBN/ISSN numbers.	15 / sole author,and 3 / chapter in edited books
		Chapters contributed to edited knowledge based volumes published by International Publishers	Chapters contributed to edited knowledge based volumes published by International Publishers	
		Chapters in knowledge based Volumes by Indian/National level publishers with ISBN/ISSN numbers and with numbers of national and international directories	Chapters in knowledge based volume by Indian/National level publishers wi ISBN/ISSN numbers and with numbe of national and international directoric	th rs
III C	Research Proj		Major Drajacta amazzat	20 /aaah Desisat
III C (i)	Sponsored Projects carried out/	(a) Major Projects amount mobilized with grants above 30.0 lakhs	Major Projects amount mobilized with grants above 5.0 lakhs	20 /each Project
	ongoing	(b) Major Projects amount mobilized with grants above 5.0 lakhs up to 30.00 lakhs (c) Minor Projects (Amount mobilized with grants above Rs. 50,000 up to Rs. 5 lakh)	Major Projects Amount mobilized with minimum of Rs. 3.00 lakhs up to Rs. 5.00 lakhs Minor Projects (Amount mobilized with grants above Rs. 25,000 up to Rs. 3 lakh)	15 /each Project  10/each Project
III C (ii)	Consultancy Projects	Amount mobilized with minimum of Rs.10.00 lakh	Amount mobilized with minimum of Rs.2.00 lakhs	10 per every Rs.10.0 lakhs and Rs.2.0
	carried out /			lakhs, respectively

	ongoing					
III C (iii)	Completed projects: Quality Evaluation Projects Outcome /	Completed project Report (Acceptance from funding agency)  Patent/Technology transfer/ Product/Process	(Accepte	ted project report ed by funding agency) blicy document of Govt. at Central and State level	20 /each major project and 10 / each minor project	
	Outputs				patent /50 /each for International level	
III D	Research Gui	dance				
III D ii)	M.Phil	Degree awarded only		Degree awarded only	3 Points for each candidate	
III D (ii)	Ph.D	Degree awarded only		Degree awarded only	10 Points for each candidate	
		Thesis submitted		Thesis submitted	7 Points for each candidate	
III E	TRAINING CO	OURSES AND CONFEREN	CE /SEMII	NAR/WORKSHOP PAPEI	RS	
III E (i)	Refresher cours Methodology workshops,	es, (a) Not less than two w duration	eeks	(a) Not less than two weeks duration	20 points each	
	Training, Teaching-Learni Evaluation Technology Programmes, So Skills developme Programmes, Faculty Development Programmes (M 30 points)	(b) One week duration earning- es, Soft opment es, nt		(b) One week duration	10 points each	
III E (ii)	Papers in Conference/ Seminars/ workshops etc.**	Participation and Presentation of research papers (oral/poster) in		cipation and Presentation search papers (oral/poster) ir	1	
		a) International Conference	,	ternational onference	10 Points each	
		b) National			7.5 Points each	
		c) Regional/State level	c) Re	egional/State level	5 Points each	
		d) Local – University/College level	d) Lo	ocal –University/ College leve	3 Points each	
III E	Invited lectures	s or (a) International	(a) Ir	nternational	10 Points each	
(iii)	presentations f conferences/ symposia	for (b) National level	(b) N	ational level	5 Points each	
43.4.11			<del></del>			

\*Wherever relevant to any specific discipline, the API score for paper in refereed journal would be augmented as follows: (i) indexed journals – by 5 points; (ii) papers with impact factor between 1 and 2 by 10 points; (iii) papers with impact factor between 2 and 5 by 15 points; (iv) papers with impact factor between 5 and 10 by 25 points.

**NOTE**: The score for joint publications (books/chapters in books) will be calculated in the following manner:

"Of the total score for the relevant category of publication by the concerned candidate, the first/principal author and the corresponding author/ supervisor/ mentor would share equally 60% of the total points and the remaining 40% would be shared equally by all other authors."

It is clarified that the points are to be shared equally and not to be divided equally:

For example, if a paper has 'M' authors, which are amongst the first/principal author, the corresponding author/supervisor/mentor and 'N' remaining authors, then each of 'M' authors will get 60% marks each (say M-1 or M-2 or M-3 etc. will get 60% marks each) and the remaining authors will get 40% marks each (say N-1 or N-2 or N-3 etc. will get 40% marks each).

<sup>\*\*</sup> If a paper presented in Conference/Seminar is published in the form of Proceedings, the points would accrue for the publication (III (a)) and not under presentation (III (e)(ii)).

Sr. No.	Category		API Score (Year-wise)					
		Year		Y	'ear	Year		
		API score filled-in by the applicant	API score awarded by the Pre- screening Committee	API score filled-in by the applicant	API score awarded by the Pre- screening Committee	API score filled-in by the applicant	API score awarded by the Pre- screening Committee	
1.	Category-I							
2.	Category-II							
	l: egory-I + gory-II)							
	I	ı	ADI		h- A	Daviad		
			API	score during ti	he Assessment	rerioa		
3.	Category-III	Category-III API score filled-in b applicant				score awarded by the Pre- ening Committee		

# APPENDIX-III - TABLE: III MINIMUM ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE AND SERVICE REQUIREMENTS FOR PROMOTION OF TEACHERS IN UNIVERSITIES AND COLLEGES

S. No.	Promotion of Teachers through CAS	Service requirement	Minimum Academic Performance Requirements and Screening/Selection Criteria
1	Assistant Professor/ equivalent cadres from Stage 1 to Stage 2	Assistant Professor in Stage 1 and completed four years of service with Ph.D. or five years of service who are with M.Phil/PG Degree in Professional Courses such as LLM, M.Tech, M.V.Sc., M.D. or six years of service who are without Ph.D/M.Phil/PG Degree in Professional Courses	(i) Minimum API scores using PBAS scoring proforma developed by the concerned university as per the norms provided in Table II(A)/II(B) of Appendix III.     (ii) One Orientation and one Refresher/Research Methodology Course of 2/3 weeks duration.     (iii) Screening cum Verification process for recommending promotion.
2.	Assistant Professor/ equivalent cadres from Stage 2 to Stage 3	Assistant Professor with completed service of five years in Stage 2.	<ul> <li>(i) Minimum API scores using the PBAS scoring proforma developed by the concerned university as per the norms provided in Table II(A)/II(B) of Appendix III</li> <li>(ii) One course / programme from among the categories of refresher courses, methodology workshops, Training, Teaching-Learning-Evaluation Technology Programmes, Soft Skills development Programmes and Faculty Development Programmes of 2/3 week duration.</li> <li>(iii) Screening cum Verification process for recommending promotion.</li> </ul>

82-249 GI/2010

3.	Assistant Professor (Stage 3) to Associate Professor (Stage 4)	Assistant Professors with three years of completed service in Stage 3.	I. Minimum API scores using the PBAS scoring proformal developed by the concerned university as per the norms provided in Table IIA/II(B) of Appendix III.  II. At least three publications in the entire period as Assistant Professor (twelve years). However, in the case of College teachers, an exemption of one publication will be given to M. Phil. holders and an exemption of two publications will be given to Ph. D. holders  III. One course / programme from among the categories of methodology workshops, Training, Teaching-Learning-Evaluation Technology Programmes, Soft Skills development Programmes and Faculty Development Programmes of minimum one week duration.  IV. A selection committee process as stipulated in this regulation and in Tables II(A) and II(B) of Appendix III.
4.	Associate Professor (Stage 4) Professor/ equivalent cadres (Stage 5)	Associate Professor with three years of completed service in Stage 4.	(i) Minimum yearly /cumulative API scores using the PBAS scoring proforma developed by the concerned university as per the norms provided in Table II(A)/II(B) of Appendix III. Teachers may combine two assessment periods (in Stages 2 and 3) to achieve minimum API scores, if required.  (ii) A minimum of five publications since the period that the teacher is placed in Stage 3.  (iii) A selection committee process as stipulated in this regulation and in Tables II(A) and II(B) of Appendix III.
5.	Professor (Stage 5) to Professor (Stage 6)	Professor with ten years of completed service (universities only)	(i)Minimum yearly /cumulative API scores for the assessment period as per the norms provided in Table II(A) of Appendix III (ii) Additional credentials are to be evidenced by: (a) post-doctoral research outputs of high standard; (b) awards / honours / recognitions / patents and IPR on products and processes developed / technology transfer achieved; and (c) Additional research degrees like D.Sc., D.Litt., LL.B., etc., (iii) A review process by an Expert Committee as stipulated in this regulation and in Tables II(A) and II(b) of Appendix III.

<sup>\*</sup> For teachers seeking promotion under CAS to Associate Professor, for those who on the date of this notification are Assistant Professors in Stage 2, the requirement of publications may be adjusted pro rata. For all others who enter Stage 2, subsequent to this notification, the requirement of three publications, as defined in these regulations, will be applicable.

Note: For universities/colleges for which Sixth PRC Awards (vide Appendix 2) are applicable, Stages 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 correspond to scales with AGP of Rs. 6000, 7000, 8000, 9000, 10000 and 12000 respectively